IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

Wednesday, the Third day of November,

TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION NO : 15372 of 2004

Between:

1 Laxmi Devamma W/o. Ramakrishna Rao
R/o. 7-10, Besides Sishu Mandir
Achampet, Mahaboobnagar District.
2 Ramakrishna Rao s/o. Parandhamulu
R/o. 7-10, Besides Sishu Mandir
Achampet, Mahaboobnagar District.
3 Nanda Kishore Yadav S/o.Ramakrishna Rao
R/o. Achampet, Mahaboobnagar District.
4 Yadamma W/o.Nanda Kishore
R/o.Achampet, Mahaboobnagar District.
5 Srinivas Yadav S/o. Ramakrishna Rao
R/o. Achampet, Mahaboobnagar District.

..... PETITIONERS

AND

1 The Circle Inspector of Police Achampet, Mahabubnagar District.
2 The Sub Inspector of Police Achampet, Mahabubnagar District.
3 Anitha W/o. Srinivas Yadav
R/o. H.No.41-141, NTR Colony, Behind RDO Office, Wanaparthy

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue an appropriate writ or direction more particularly one in the nature of mandamus declaring the action of the respondents 1 and 2 in interfering with the personal life of the petitioners without any basis as illegal, arbitrary and violative of Article 21 of the Constitution of India and consequently restrain them from interfering and summoning the petitioners to the Police Station without any basis.

Counsel for the Petitioner :MR. T.HANUMANTHA REDDY

Counsel for the Respondents 1 & 2: GP FOR HOME

Counsel for the 3rd respondent : None appeared

The Court made the following :

THE HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION NO.15372 OF 2004

-

ORAL ORDER :

This writ petition is filed seeking a declaration that the alleged action of the respondents 1 and 2 in interfering with the personal life and liberty of the petitioners as arbitrary and illegal and in violation of the Article 21 of the Constitution of India.

On behalf of the respondents 1 and 2, the Sub-Inspector of Police, Achampet, Mahabubnagar District filed a counter-affidavit dated 28-09-2004 denying the allegations made by the petitioners that on 23-07-2004 the 1st petitioner and her husband were called to the police station and were threatened to agree to the terms of the respondents. It is also stated that the petitioners were never called to the police station either on 25-07-2004 or any other date. The allegation of the petitioners that they were made to sign on blank papers by the respondents 1 and 2 has also been specifically denied.

In view of the unrebutted averments in the counter-affidavit filed by the respondents 1 and 2, no further adjudication is necessary in the writ petition.

Accordingly, recording the statement of the respondents 1 and 2 that at no point of time the petitioners were called to the

police station and that they were neither harassed nor threatened, the Writ Petition is

disposed of. No costs.

03rd November, 2004.

Gbs

To

1 The Circle Inspector of Police Achampet, Mahabubnagar District.
2 The Sub Inspector of Police Achampet, Mahabubnagar District.
3.2CCs to G.P. for Home, High Court of AP Bldgs, Hyderabad (OUT).

4.2CD copies